ATTACHMENT 80

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
           FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                    SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
      IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL
6
      ROBOT ANTITRUST LITIGATION
 7
      THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL
8
      CASES
                                     )
      SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE ) Case No.
9
                                  ) 3:21-cv-03496-VC
10
      COMPANY, INC.,
11
                  Plaintiff,
              v.
12
      INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
13
                  Defendants.
14
15
16
             REMOTE VIDEO RECORDED DEPOSITION OF
17
                       JUDITH SCHIMMEL
18
                 Thursday, September 22, 2022
19
20
21
     REPORTED BY:
22
     RENEE HARRIS, CSR, CCR, RPR
      JOB NO. 5490761
23
24
25
     PAGES: 1 - 159
                                                Page 1
```

1	technology.	
2	BY MR. CHAPUT:	
3	Q. So to your understanding, physicians were	
4	interested in the Xi system, because from their	
5	perspective, the technology was improved; correct?	01:49:23
6	A. From my experience as a director, yes.	
7	Q. Do you have other experience that is	
8	contrary to that?	
9	A. Not in my previous experience, no.	
10	Q. Do you have contrary experience in your	01:49:39
11	current role?	
12	A. I'm not involved in those particular	
13	conversations.	
14	Q. Is that a no?	
15	A. That is a no.	01:49:52
16	Q. If you would turn forward one more page.	
17	This is the page ending 51180.	
18	This is another e-mail from Karen	
19	Waninger on August 29, 2019, to Jennifer Marion	
20	and others.	01:50:17
21	Do you see that e-mail?	
22	A. I do.	
23	Q. And the first two sentences say, "For the	
24	older models, Intuitive has some competition now.	
25	A company has figured out how to renew the limited	01:50:30
		Page 118

```
1
      STATE OF CALIFORNIA
                              )
                              (
                                    Ss.
 2
      COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
                              )
 3
              I, RENEE HARRIS, do hereby certify that I
 4
      am a licensed Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly
 5
      qualified and certified as such by the State of
 6
 7
      California;
         That prior to being examined, the witness named
 8
 9
      in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn
      to testify to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
10
      nothing but the truth;
11
12
         That the said deposition was by me recorded
13
      stenographically;
         And the foregoing pages constitute a full,
14
      true, complete and correct record of the testimony
15
      given by the said witness;
16
              That I am a disinterested person, not
17
      being in any way interested in the outcome of said
18
      action, or connected with, nor related to any of
      the parties in said action, or to their respective
19
      counsel, in any manner whatsoever.
20
21
            Dated: October 7, 2022
22
23
24
            Renee Harris, CSR, CCR, RPR
            CA CSR No. 14168,
25
            NJ CRR No. 30XI00241200; RPR
                                                 Page 157
```